

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,763	07/08/2003	Robert D. Rehnke	1870 DIV CON	8002	
75	90 08/09/2004		EXAM	INER	
Kimberly V. Perry, Esq.			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H		
U.S. Surgical	yco Healthcare Group, LP		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
150 Glover Avenue			3738		
Norwalk, CT	06856		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	 //			
	10/614,763	REHNKE, ROBERT (p. //			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-/			
	William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period via Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	anuary 2004.		\vec{j}			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		ل			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies and accompanies are accompanies and accompanies are accompanies and accompanies and accompanies are accompanies and accompanies and accompanies and accompanies and accompanies and accompanies and accompanies are a	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= : :		121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	s have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			qe			
application from the International Bureau	· •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Δttachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	2)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-14-03</u> .	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-15	4)			

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,3,6, and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,055,989. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the current application are broader than those of US PN 6,055,989. Specifically, the current application claims "dissection of the fascial cleft to the anatomical boundaries" and '989 claims dissection to the ligaments which bound the fascial cleft.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/614,763

Art Unit: 3738

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. US PN 5,655,545 in view of Agris US PN 3,994,301.

Johnson et al. discloses the method of using an inflatable device as set forth in claim 1. See Abstract, lines 51-53 of column 1, lines 1-6,44-65 of column 2, lines 5-10,64-67 of column 3, lines 26-47 of column 5, lines 3-18 of column 6, and Figures 11, 12, 14, and 15. Johnson '545 describes the location for the implant as below the breast tissue and above the pectoralis muscle fascia (lines 37-42 of column 5) and also discloses that the tissue expander can be used anywhere an implant is desired (abstract), but does not clearly disclose whether the implant is below the fascia surrounding breast tissue or only under breast tissue. Agris '301 teaches that it is well known in the art to create a pocket for insertion of an implant in the fascial cleft (see lines 3-19 of column 4) in order to provide an adequate pocket for reception of a prosthesis.

3. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method disclosed by Johnson '545 to use the tissue expander in the fascial cleft as taught by Agris in order to provide an adequate pocket for reception of a prosthesis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 10/614,763

Art Unit: 3738

is 703-305-0316. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-

6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 8, 2004

Page 4

Primary Examiner